Exhibit B



Transcript of Ruth Smith

Date: December 2, 2022
Case: Smith -v- SunPath, Ltd.

Planet Depos

Phone: 888-433-3767 Fax: 888-503-3767

Email: transcripts@planetdepos.com

www.planetdepos.com

```
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
           FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
2
                   Alexandria Division
3
             ____x
4
    RUTH SMITH, individually :
5
    and on behalf of all
6
    others similarly situated, :
7
                   Plaintiffs, : Case No.:
8
                              : 1:22-cv-00081-LMB-TCB
9
       v.
    SUNPATH, LTD., a
10
11
    Massachusetts corporation, :
                   Defendant. :
12
13
14
                 Deposition of RUTH SMITH
15
                     McLean, Virginia
16
                 Friday, December 2, 2022
17
                      9:56 a.m. EST
18
19
20
    Job No: 472707
    Pages: 1 - 213
21
    Reported by: Kelly Carnegie, CSR, RPR
22
```

1	Deposition of RUTH SMITH, held at the offices
2	of:
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	Roth Jackson Gibbons Condlin, PLC
9	8200 Greensboro Drive
10	Suite 820
11	McLean, Virginia 22102
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	Pursuant to Notice, before Kelly Carnegie,
19	Certified Shorthand Reporter, Registered
20	Professional Reporter, and Electronic Notary
21	Public in and for the Commonwealth of Virginia.
22	

1	APPEARANCES
2	ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFFS:
3	TAYLOR T. SMITH, ESQUIRE
4	Woodrow & Peluso, PLLC
5	3900 East Mexico Avenue
6	Suite 300
7	Denver, Colorado 80210
8	(720) 907-7628
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT:
14	GREGORY M. CAFFAS, ESQUIRE
15	Roth Jackson Gibbons Condlin, PLC
16	8200 Greensboro Drive
17	Suite 820
18	McLean, Virginia 22102
19	(703) 485-3533
20	
21	
22	

4

1			
1		CONTENTS	
2	EXAMINATION	OF RUTH SMITH	PAGE
3	By Mr.	Caffas	6
4	By Mr.	Smith	205
5			
6		EXHIBITS	
7	(Exhi	bits attached to the transcript.)	
8	SMITH DEPOS	ITION EXHIBITS	PAGE
9	Exhibit 1	Amended Notice of Deposition of	
10		Ruth Smith	9
11	Exhibit 2	Class Action Complaint and	
12		for Jury Trial	15
13	Exhibit 3	Plaintiff's Supplemental	
14		Objections and Responses to	
15		Defendant's First Set of	
16		Discovery Requests	23
17	Exhibit 4	Cell Phone Screenshots	
18		SMITH000001 - SMITH000020	55
19	Exhibit 5	Verizon Subpoena Response	78
20	Exhibit 6	5/28/2020 E-mail w/Attachment	
21		SMITH000022 - SMITH000026	86
22	Exhibit 7	AT&T Subpoena Response	106

PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

-
_

1			
1	E X	HIBITS CONTINUED	
2	Exhibit 8	Plaintiff's Objections and	
3		Responses to Defendant's First	
4		Set of Discovery Requests	137
5	Exhibit 9	Plaintiff's Objections and	
6		Responses to Defendant's First	
7		Set of Discovery Requests	149
8	Exhibit 10	Vehicle Alert Notice Mailer	168
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			

PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

1	screenshots and documents that you provided to
2	your counsel in order to draft this complaint,
3	right?
4	A Uh-huh, right.
5	Q And then on November 11, we received
6	these supplemental interrogatory responses. Is
7	there anything that you learned in between the
8	time that you submitted that information relating
9	to what was in the complaint and when you
10	submitted these supplemental interrogatory
11	responses?
12	A So at the time when I submitted the
13	logs, it was the best of my knowledge. But now
14	based on the call logs that we found in discovery,
15	my understanding is there was a lot more phone
16	calls made to me than what I reported.
17	Q But you have not reviewed the call logs
18	that you're referring to?
19	A I defer to my counsel.
20	Q And the call logs that you're referring
21	to, did you learn the information that you're
22	referring to before or after you submitted these

1	BY MR. CAFFAS:
2	Q So then would it be accurate to say that
3	between when you submitted the complaint and the
4	information you knew at the time of the complaint
5	and when you submitted the information in the
6	supplemental interrogatory responses that are in
7	front of you, you didn't learn any new
8	information?
9	A I learned the information just very
10	recently, so it would be after.
11	Q And when you say the information that
12	you learned recently, you're referring to
13	A The call logs.
14	Q So there's nothing else new that you
15	learned from
16	A Not to my knowledge, no.
17	Q So I'll direct you again to the calls
18	that you describe in that first paragraph there.
19	So do you see where I'm pointing to where it says,
20	"On May 26, 2020, plaintiff received two
21	unsolicited calls from the caller ID
22	(410) 844-6327 directed to her cellular telephone

1	Q Specifically with respect to the
2	document marked SMITH11, if you'll take a look at
3	that document, you'll see that it says at 6:25 you
4	missed a call. Is it your understanding that
5	means you didn't answer that call?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Okay. But your interrogatory response
8	says, "Upon answering the calls," plural. So it
9	is your understanding that you only answered one
10	of the two calls?
11	A So I know that so based on my
12	knowledge from what I submitted at the time, and
13	then from once the call logs were received.
14	Q I thought you said the call logs weren't
15	received.
16	A Not my are you talking about from
17	AT&T to me? That has not been received yet.
18	MR. SMITH: She's referring to the call
19	logs produced in this case.
20	MR. CAFFAS: Okay.
21	BY MR. CAFFAS:
22	Q So it's my understanding your testimony

1	was those call logs weren't received until after
2	you submitted these supplemental interrogatory
3	responses. Are you saying that your supplemental
4	interrogatory response is not correct and would
5	need to be changed?
6	A No. My understanding is that they
7	just they just came. They just were received.
8	Q And I'm asking if you're saying that
9	that means that your statement that upon answering
10	these calls, plaintiff heard a pause and a click,
11	so that indicates you answered both calls, right?
12	MR. SMITH: Object to form.
13	A I'd have to refer back to I don't
14	I don't remember because you're asking
15	specifically. It says the calls, and you said
16	there's the one missed call.
17	BY MR. CAFFAS:
18	Q So to reiterate, you said that the
19	documents marked SMITH4 and SMITH11
20	A Yes.
21	Q SMITH11 are screenshots from the calls
22	that you're describing in the second paragraph of

1	with the correspondents.
2	BY MR. CAFFAS:
3	Q You contend that June 9 was the last
4	call that you received that you're seeking damages
5	for in this case?
6	A Again, whatever I provided initially was
7	correct, but I know that there's the call logs
8	that were received. So that is correct, what's in
9	the logs.
10	Q Can you clarify what you mean by that?
11	A So when I submitted all the
12	documentation that I had, that was to the best of
13	my knowledge at the time, but I understand that
14	the call logs have different information, and they
15	are they are correct.
16	Q And when you say the call logs, are you
17	referring to call logs that you believe your
18	counsel has received but you have not yet
19	reviewed?
20	A Yes. They just came this week, yes.
21	Q So when you say that you believe that
22	the call logs confirm this not to be the case, is

1	that based on representations from counsel?
2	A Because it's an accurate because of
3	the logs that were received by the company versus
4	what I have.
5	Q Right. And I'm just saying you haven't
6	reviewed these call logs that you're referring to,
7	right?
8	A Correct.
9	Q So how do you know that the call logs
10	confirm this?
11	A I rely on my counsel.
12	Q Do you believe that SunPath is the only
13	company that administers vehicle service
14	contracts?
15	A No.
16	Q So you're saying that if you received a
17	call soliciting you for vehicle service contracts
18	without mentioning they're SunPath's vehicle
19	service contracts, those would not be about
20	SunPath vehicle service contracts, right?
21	A They may or may not.
22	Q How do you discern the difference if

1	A Yes.
2	Q So is it a fair statement that this is
3	an e-mail from American Protection that would have
4	solicited you to purchase a SunPath vehicle
5	service protection plan?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Okay. Does this change your testimony
8	as to whose products were being sold via the calls
9	at issue in this case?
10	A So it's SunPath.
11	Q Okay. I just want to clarify. American
12	Protection was placing calls to sell SunPath's
13	products and services?
14	A On behalf, yes.
15	Q Is it fair to say that you rely on your
16	counsel to conduct an investigation in this case?
17	A Yes.
18	Q To gather facts?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Are you aware that there were call logs
21	obtained in this case from Five9, Incorporated?
22	A Yes.

1	Q Are you aware that those call logs were
2	provided to SunPath?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Is it your testimony that the call logs
5	produced by Five9, Incorporated are accurate?
6	MR. CAFFAS: Objection, calls for
7	speculation.
8	BY MR. SMITH:
9	Q The call logs that were produced to
10	SunPath, is it your testimony that the records of
11	the calls are accurate?
12	MR. CAFFAS: Objection, calls for
13	speculation.
14	BY MR. SMITH:
15	Q You can answer.
16	A Just to make sure I just want to make
17	sure I understand. That's what you obtained,
18	that
19	Q Yes.
20	A Okay. Yes, yes.
21	MR. CAFFAS: Objection to form.
22	BY MR. SMITH:

1	Q We previously discussed the complaint
2	and your discovery responses in this case. At the
3	time you executed sorry. We'll take them one
4	at a time.
5	At the time you reviewed the complaint,
6	were those facts complete and accurate to the best
7	of your recollection at that time?
8	A At that time.
9	Q Okay.
10	A To the best of my knowledge, correct.
11	Q And at the time you reviewed each of the
12	discovery responses, were those responses accurate
13	to the best of your knowledge at that time?
14	A Yes, at that time to the best of my
15	knowledge.
16	Q And was the information contained in
17	both the complaint and the discovery responses
18	based on information that you provided to your
19	counsel near the time of the call?
20	A Yes.
21	Q If your
22	MR. CAFFAS: Objection to vague on the

1	last question. "Informed", I guess.
2	BY MR. SMITH:
3	Q If your discovery responses conflict
4	with the call logs that we produced in this case,
5	do you agree that the call logs would be accurate?
6	A Yes.
7	MR. CAFFAS: Objection, calls for
8	speculation.
9	A Yes. I believe that the call logs would
10	be accurate.
11	BY MR. SMITH:
12	Q Do you have any recollection during any
13	of the calls that the caller identifying
14	themselves by their first and last name?
15	A I do not.
16	MR. SMITH: I don't have any further
17	questions.
18	MR. CAFFAS: Nothing further for me
19	either. We can go off the record.
20	MR. SMITH: Before we do, can we reserve
21	signature.
22	(Off the record at 3:47 p.m. EST)